

Linear Algebra for Machine Learning

Moritz Wolter September 11, 2023

High-Performance Computing and Analytics Lab, University of Bonn

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

[Essential operations](#page-5-0)

[Linear curve fitting](#page-15-0)

[Regularization](#page-24-0)

[Introduction](#page-2-0)

Même le feu est régi par les nombres.

Fourier 1 studied the transmission of heat using tools that would later be called an eigenvector-basis. Why would he say something like this?

 1 Jean Baptiste Joseph Fourier (1768-1830)

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ is a real-valued Matrix with m rows and n columns.

$$
\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix}, a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}.
$$
 (1)

[Essential operations](#page-5-0)

Two matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ and $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ can be added by adding their elements.

$$
\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} + b_{1n} \\ a_{21} + b_{21} & a_{22} + b_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} + b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} + b_{m1} & a_{m2} + b_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} + b_{mn} \end{pmatrix}
$$
 (2)

Multiplying $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ by $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,p}$ produces $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,p}$,

$$
AB = C. \tag{3}
$$

To compute **C** the elements in the rows of **A** are multiplied with the column elements of **C** and the products added,

$$
c_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \cdot b_{jk}.
$$
 (4)

The identity matrix

(5)

The inverse Matrix **A**−¹ undoes the effects of **A**, or in mathematical notation,

$$
AA^{-1} = I.
$$
 (6)

The process of computing the inverse is called Gaussian elimination.

The transpose operation flips matrices along the diagonal, for example, in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^T = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}
$$

- The determinant contains lots of information about a matrix in a single number.
- When a matrix has a zero determinant, a column is a linear combination of other columns. Its inverse does not exist.
- We require determinants to find eigenvalues by hand.

The two-dimensional case:

 $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

$$
\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \cdot a_{22} - a_{12} \cdot a_{21} \tag{8}
$$

Computing the determinant of a three-dimensional matrix.

$$
\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - a_{21} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} + a_{31} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \ a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix}
$$
\n(10)

(9)

Determinants in n-dimensions

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\n a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn}\n\end{vmatrix} = a_{11}\begin{vmatrix}\n a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn}\n\end{vmatrix} + a_{21}\begin{vmatrix}\n a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn}\n\end{vmatrix}
$$
\n...\n
$$
a_{m1}\begin{vmatrix}\n a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\
a_{21} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{2n}\n\end{vmatrix}
$$

- We saw some of the most important operations in linear algebra.
- Let's use these to do something useful next.

[Linear curve fitting](#page-15-0)

What is the best line connecting measurements?

Problem Formulation

A line has the form $f(a) = da + c$, with $c, a, d \in \mathbb{R}$. In matrix language, we could ask for every point to be on the line,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 \\ 1 & a_2 \\ 1 & a_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \end{pmatrix} . \tag{11}
$$

We can treat polynomials as vectors, too! The coordinates populate the matrix rows in $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p \times 2}$, and the coefficients appear in $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, with the points we would like to model in $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$. The problem now appears in matrix form and can be solved using linear algebra!

The inverse exists for square or n by n matrices. Nonsquare **A** such as the one we just saw, require the pseudoinverse,

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\dagger} = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T.
$$
 (12)

Sometimes solving $Ax - b = 0$ is impossible, the pseudoinverse considers,

$$
\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}|^2 \tag{13}
$$

(14)

instead. $A^{\dagger}b = x$ yields the solution.

What about harder problems?

Fitting higher order polynomials

As we saw for the linear regression $A^{\dagger}b = x$ gives us the coefficients.

Overfitting

The figure below depicts the solution for a polynomial of 7th degree, that is $m = 7$.

- We saw how linear algebra lets us fit polynomials to curves.
- For the 7th-degree polynomial the noise took over! What now?

[Regularization](#page-24-0)

- Is there a way to fix the previous example?
- To do so we start with a rather peculiar observation.

Multiply matrix A with vectors x_1 and x_2 ,

$$
\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{x_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}
$$

we observe

$$
\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}
$$

Vector **x¹** has not changed! Vector **x²** was multiplied by two. In other words,

$$
Ax_1 = 1x_1, Ax_2 = 2x_2 \tag{18}
$$

Eigenvectors turn multiplication with a matrix into multiplication with a number,

$$
Ax = \lambda x. \tag{19}
$$

Subtracting *λ***x** leads to,

$$
(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I})\mathbf{x} = 0 \tag{20}
$$

The interesting solutions are those were $x \neq 0$, which means

$$
\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = 0 \tag{21}
$$

Eigenvalues let us look into the heart of a square system-matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$.

$$
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{S} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}^{-1} = \mathbf{S} \Lambda \mathbf{S}^{-1}, \quad (22)
$$

with $S \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ and $\Lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$.

What about a non-square matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$? Idea:

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}^{-1}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_m^2 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^{-1}.
$$
\n(23)

Using the eigenvectors of the $A^T A$ and AA^T we construct,

$$
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T, \tag{24}
$$

with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$, $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$, $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$. Σ 's diagonal is filled with the square root $A^T A$'s eigenvalues.

Singular values and matrix inversion [\[GK65\]](#page-34-2)

The singular value matrix is a zero-padded diagonal matrix

$$
\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n \\ \hline & & & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}^T.
$$
 (25)

Inverting the sigmas and transposing yields the pseudoinverse

$$
\mathbf{A}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{V} \Sigma^{\dagger} \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{V} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_n^{-1} \\ \hline & & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}.\tag{26}
$$

Originally we had a problem computing $A^{\dagger}b = x$. To solve it, we compute,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{reg} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \frac{\mathbf{u}_i^T b}{\sigma_i} \mathbf{v}_i
$$
 (27)

The filter factors are computed using $f_i = \sigma_i^2/(\sigma_i^2 + \epsilon)$. Singular values $\sigma_i < \epsilon$ are filtered. Expressing equation [27](#page-31-0) using matrix notation:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{reg} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{F} \Sigma^{\dagger} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b}_{noise}
$$
 (28)

with $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,n}$, $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$, $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, diagonal $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{m,m}$, $\Sigma^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,m}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. **F** has the f_i in its diagonal.

Regularized solution

- True scientists know what linear can do for them!
- Think about matrix shapes. If you are solving a problem, rule out all formulations where the shapes don't work.
- Regularization using the SVD is also known as Tikhonov regularization.

Literature

[References](#page-34-3)

- [DFO20] Marc Peter Deisenroth, A Aldo Faisal, and Cheng Soon Ong. Mathematics for machine learning. Cambridge University Press, 2020.
- [GK65] Gene Golub and William Kahan. "Calculating the singular values and pseudo-inverse of a matrix." In: Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Series B: Numerical Analysis 2.2 (1965), pp. 205–224.
- [Str+09] Gilbert Strang, Gilbert Strang, Gilbert Strang, and Gilbert Strang. *Introduction to linear algebra*. Vol. 4. Wellesley-Cambridge Press Wellesley, MA, 2009.